UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
,	10/552,105	10/03/2005	Santanu Dutta	US030083US2	4183
	65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 09/17/20		EXAMINER	
	NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CRAWFORD, JACINTA M	
	M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN JOSE, C	A 95131		2628	
			·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

٠,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/552,105	DUTTA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jacinta Crawford	2628
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on O.	3 October 2005.	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	
3)		•	• •
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/s	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachmer	• •		
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03/2005</u> .	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/552,105

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Background and Figures 1 and 2) and Wise et al. (US 2004/0025000).

As to claim 1, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses a processing system comprising: a plurality of pipelines, each pipeline of the plurality of pipelines including a plurality of core pipeline elements that are configured to sequentially process data as it traverses the pipeline (Figures 1 and 2; Background, paragraph 1).

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art differs from the invention defined in claim 1 in that Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not disclose a plurality of auxiliary Art Unit: 2628

elements, each auxiliary element of the plurality of auxiliary elements being configured to be selectively coupled between a pair of core pipeline elements of the plurality of core pipeline elements to process the data as it traverses between the pair of core elements.

Wise et al. disclose a plurality of auxiliary elements (control/data tokens), each auxiliary element of the plurality of auxiliary elements being configured to be selectively coupled between a pair of core pipeline elements of the plurality of core pipeline elements to process the data as it traverses between the pair of core elements ([0036] thru [0085]: note that the data tokens can be added into pipelines for added control functions in the processing stages of the pipeline; also note that they are positioned in the pipeline depending on the processing stages for enhanced performance of functions).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's Admitted Prior Art's pipelines with Wise et al's method of reconfiguring pipelines to enhance the performance and flexibility of processing data in a pipeline.

As to claim 2, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses the processing system wherein the data includes at least one of: video data and graphics data

(Background, paragraphs 1 and 2).

As to claim 3, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses the processing system wherein the data that is provided to two or more of the pipelines corresponds to a common image (Background, paragraph 2).

As to claim 4, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses the processing system wherein the data that is provided to two or more of the pipelines corresponds to different images (Background, paragraph 2).

As to claim 5, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein the plurality of core pipeline elements include at least one of: a pixel acquisition element, a pixel formatter, a chroma-keying element, an un-ditherer, a chroma-upsampler, a linear interpolator, a contrast balancer and a color-space converter (Figure 155 shows chroma-upsampler/interpolators, color-space converter, a pixel formatter; see also [2958] and [2970]).

As to claim 6, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein the plurality of auxiliary elements include at least one of: a color-lookup table, a color-transient-improver, a sample-rate up-converter, a histogram-modifier, a luminance-sharpener, and a color-feature module (Figure 155 notes a color

Art Unit: 2628

look-up table, luminance-sharpener (gamma); see also [2970]).

As to claim 7, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein the plurality of auxiliary elements include at least one of: a color-lookup table, a color-transient-improver, a sample-rate up-converter, a histogram-modifier, a luminance-sharpener, and a color-feature module (Figure 155 notes a color look-up table, luminance-sharpener (gamma); see also [2970]).

As to claim 8, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein each auxiliary element (control/data tokens) is configured to be selectively coupled between a predetermined pair of core pipeline elements of the plurality of core pipeline elements ([0036] thru [0085]: note that the data tokens can be added into pipelines for added control functions in the processing stages of the pipeline; also note that they are positioned in the pipeline depending on the processing stages for enhanced performance of functions).

As to claim 9, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein each auxiliary element includes: a function module, and a switch (adaptation unit), wherein the switch is configured to select among the plurality of pipelines for the selective coupling of the auxiliary element to a select pipeline ([0036] thru [0085]: note that the control/data tokens are in the form of universal adaptation units to interface with the all of the stages in the pipeline; it would

Art Unit: 2628

be obvious that the adaptation unit have a switch in order to be interactive with any processing stage of a pipeline).

Page 6

As to claim 10, Wise et al. disclose the processing system including a register that is configured to control the selective coupling of the auxiliary elements into the plurality of pipeline ([0044]).

As to claim 11, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses the processing system including: a data fetch module operably coupled to each of the pipelines, that is configured to facilitate acquisition of the data, and a mixer operably coupled to each of the pipelines, that is configured to merge the data from two or more pipelines of the plurality of pipelines (Figures 1 and 2).

As to claim 12, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein the plurality of auxiliary elements includes a number of duplicate copies of a functional element, and the number of duplicate copies of the functional element is less than a number of pipelines in the plurality of pipelines ([0423] thru [0428]).

As to claim 13, Wise et al. disclose the processing system including a controller that facilitates the selective coupling of the auxiliary elements into the plurality of pipelines ([0036] thru [0085]: note that the control/data tokens are in the form of universal adaptation units to interface with the all of the stages in the

pipeline; it would be obvious that the adaptation unit have a controller to control the interaction with any processing stage of a pipeline).

As to claim 14, Wise et al. disclose the processing system wherein the controller is configured to effect the selective coupling upon commencement of an application that is executed via the processing system ([0036] thru [0085]: note that the control/data tokens are in the form of universal adaptation units to interface with the all of the stages in the pipeline; it would be obvious that the adaptation unit have a controller to control the interaction with any processing stage of a pipeline).

As to claim 15, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of homogeneous pipelines (Figure 1), but does not disclose a controller that is configured to enable a modification of one or more pipelines of the plurality of homogeneous pipelines to produce a plurality of heterogeneous pipelines.

Wise et al. disclose a controller that is configured to enable a modification of one or more pipelines of the plurality of homogeneous pipelines to produce a plurality of heterogeneous pipelines ([0036] thru [0085]).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,105 Page 8

Art Unit: 2628

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's Admitted Prior Art pipelines with Wise et al's method of reconfiguring pipelines to enhance the performance and flexibility of processing data in a pipeline.

As to claim 16, Wise et al. disclose the integrated circuit including one or more auxiliary elements that are configured to be selectively inserted within the one or more pipelines by the controller to produce the plurality of heterogeneous pipelines ([0036] thru [0085]).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rumreich et al. (US 2003/0137606) disclose the method of processing video signals using various elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacinta Crawford whose telephone number is (571) 270-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00a.m. - 5:00p.m. EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,105

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xiao Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7761. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMC \bigcirc \subset

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9